## Overview and Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:	Draft West Suffolk Annual Report 2015/16		
Report No:	OAS/SE/16/013		
Report to and date/s:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee	8 June 2016	
	Cabinet	14 June 2016	
Portfolio holder:	John Griffiths Leader of the Council, St Edmundsbury Borough Council Tel: 01284 757136 Email: john.griffiths@stedsbc.gov.uk		
Lead officer:	Davina Howes Head of Families and Communities Tel: 01284 757070 Email: davina.howes@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	The draft West Suffolk Annual Report highlights the key activities and developments that have been achieved over the financial year 2015-16, with regard to the priorities set out in the West Suffolk Strategic Plan 2014-16.		
Recommendation:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee:  It is RECOMMENDED that, Overview and Scrutiny Committee:  (1) considers the draft West Suffolk Annual Report; and  (2) makes any amendments and recommends the draft West Suffolk Annual Report to Cabinet.		

Key Decision:	Is this a Key De	ecision and, if so, ur	nder which			
(Check the appropriate	Yes, it is a Key Decision - $\square$					
box and delete all those		No, it is a Key Decision - ⊠				
that <u>do not</u> apply.)	140, it is not a R					
Consultation:	The draft Annu	al Report summaris	ses progress in			
		priorities set out in t	. –			
	Suffolk Strateg	ic Plan which was ir	nformed by feedback			
	from residents, business and stakeholders.					
Alternative	It is good practice for councils to report on progress					
option(s):	against their priorities in this way and ensures					
		transparency on how they spend public money.				
	Forest Heath and St Edmundsbury could report					
	separately on their work to achieve their priorities.  However, this would not reflect some of the excellent					
	work which has been delivered jointly across the					
	whole of West Suffolk. Also, it would not show the					
	continued joint working between Forest Heath and St					
	Edmundsbury.					
Implications:						
_	Are there any <b>financial</b> implications? Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$					
If yes, please give details		•				
Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications?		Yes □ No ⊠				
If yes, please give details		•				
Are there any <b>ICT</b> implications? If		Yes □ No ⊠				
yes, please give details		• No No No				
Are there any legal and/or policy		Yes □ No ⊠				
implications? If yes, please give details		•				
Are there any <b>equality</b> implications?		Yes ⊠ No □				
If yes, please give details		<ul> <li>The Annual Report covers evidence</li> </ul>				
In you, product give detailed		to support the achievement of the				
		equality objectives from the				
		Strategic Plan.				
Risk/opportunity	assessment:	None				
Risk area	Inherent level of	Controls	Residual risk (after			
	risk (before		controls)			
Misunderstanding of	controls) very low	Develop a	Negligible			
the role of the report		communications plan				
(i.e. it can only give		to clearly explain the				
highlights of W Suffolk's activities,		role of the report				
not every action						
taken).						
Ward(s) affected:		All wards				
Background papers: (all background papers are to be		West Suffolk Strategic Plan 2014-2016				
published on the vincluded)	vensile alla a IIIIK					
<b>Documents attack</b>	ned:	Appendix A: D	raft Annual Report			
Documents attack	ı Cu i	2015-16	raic Aimaai Report			
1		,				

## 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendations

## 1.1 **Draft West Suffolk Annual Report 2015-16**

- 1.1.1 The draft West Suffolk Annual Report (**Appendix A**) highlights the key activities and developments that have been achieved over the financial year 2015-16, with regard to the priorities set out in the West Suffolk Strategic Plan 2014-16.
- 1.1.2 This version of the report has been designed and contains photographs. The draft report also contains a number of case studies and examples from West Suffolk to illustrate the achievements described. These have been carefully drawn from a range of localities, urban vs rural locations, and service areas, in order to demonstrate the range of activities undertaken by the councils. In some cases, initiatives were only focused on one specific area, however, so examples are necessarily drawn from these localities.